FALMOUTH PERMEABLE REACTIVE BARRIER PLANNING

USING PERMEABLE REACTIVE BARRIERS TO ADDRESS NITROGEN POLLUTION

APRIL 5, 2017

Sia Karplus Science Wares, Inc. Mark Begley MT Environmental Restoration

FALMOUTH'S ESTUARIES



PLANNING HISTORY FOR FALMOUTH

- Water Quality Management Committee established by Town Meeting in 2011 to focus on wastewater planning alternatives. Working Groups consist of 3 members who focus on different alternatives.
- April 2011 Town Meeting unanimously appropriated \$2.77 million to proceed with sewer design and alternative demonstration projects. Ballot vote passed by a 2:1 margin. This allocation has leveraged over \$500,000 in grant funding.
- January 10, 2014, Certificate of Adequacy issued for Falmouth's Final Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan (CWMP) that endorsed sewering the Lower Little Pond watershed and gave the Town 5 years to evaluate alternatives. These alternatives include:

Permeable Reactive Barriers

Innovative/Alternative (I/A) Septic Systems

Shellfish Cultivation

Bournes Pond Bridge Replacement and Inlet Widening

Nitrogen Control By-Law for Fertilizer

PRB PLANNING (LEARNING) PROCESS

- Starting in 2012, Falmouth Water Quality Management Committee worked with CDM Smith to evaluate potential PRB locations
- Town-wide evaluation at the watershed level
- Evaluation approach driven by installation paradigm
 - Trenching and wood chips
 - Ringing peninsulas

SITE EVALUATIONS

- Important Siting Considerations (CDM Smith Technical Memorandum 1, March 2013)
 - Most relate to characteristics of groundwater and soils
 - Evaluation approach based on information on land use and limited field data
 - High density residential areas
 - Plume from Wastewater Treatment Facility

Design and Siting Considerations for Nitrate PRBs

Criteria	Significance of Criteria	
Hydrogeological	Understand groundwater flow to properly intercept plume	
Geochemical impacts	Account for interactions with the geochemical composition of the aquifer	
Nitrate concentration	Position PRB to target highest concentrations	
Competing contaminants	Important to understand if competing chemicals exist in the aquifer	
Appropriate media type	Choose media that will best reduce nitrate concentration at the chosen location	
Proximity to tidal area	Salt water intrusion may negatively impact system longevity, increasing ammonia/ammonium and hydrogen sulfide production	
Dimensions of plume	Total depth >45 feet to base of contamination is beyond practical depth of trenching or excavation using the one-pass method. May lead to consideration of injection methods ¹	
Infrastructure and land use	Buildings or utility lines that cannot be breached may leave gaps in the PRB. May lead to consideration of injection methods ¹	
Soil types in aquifer	Will affect permeability, best case is homogeneous soils ³	
Stratigraphy	Best if PRB extends to confining layer of aquifer ¹	
Hydraulic conductivity (K) Hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer. Best surrounding aquifer is < 1.0 ft/day. Deper contaminant flux and reactivity of the me velocities may be accommodated. Multip PRBs spaced along the axis of the plume of to provide greater net residence time. ¹		
pH of aquifer	Best if pH is neutral ¹	
Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration	Ideal DO concentration is < 4.0 mg/L ¹	
Sulfate concentration	Lower initial concentration is desirable so that ammonia/ammonium production is minimized, also useful life of PRB is lengthened due to less competition for substrate	
PRB width	The thickness of the PRB is designed based on the required residence time of the contaminants and the groundwater flow velocity. Simple estimation of thickness is (V)*(t) where V is the groundwater flow velocity and t is the residence time. ¹	

1. The Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council, 2011



SITE EVALUATIONS

- Narrowing the possibilities using CDM Smith matrix
 - Accessibility
 - Replicability
 - Ease of monitoring
 - Nitrogen-removal and expansion
 - Permitting
 - Non-starters

Screening Step	Criteria	Description	
		70	
	(3.1) Site Accessibility	An evaluation of topography, roadway access, and presence of extensive	H.
		vegetation. Sites that are relatively flat, easily accessibly, and with limited need for vegetation removal will receive a higher score	M
			-
		Identification of "non-starters" such as areas within a salt march ACEC	14
(3a) Refined potential PRB Locations to 3	(3.2) Non-Starters	Zone II areas, locations downstream of private drinking water well	1
		locations, or other sensitive resources. Locations with any of these	
		restrictions are non-starters	
	(3.3a) Applicability to Other Sites	Ability to replicate PRB at other sites in Falmouth. Sites that capture	H
		common themes in Falmouth (i.e. housing densities, depth to	M
		groundwater, proximity to estuaries, etc.) will be ranked higher. These	L
		common themes will emerge through the two screening steps above	
	(3.4) Potential for Utility Conflicts	I ocations that nose notential utility conflicts will increase the difficulty of	Le .
		PRB installation, especially one-pass trenching. Sites with no utility	M
		conflicts receive a higher score	L
	(3.5) Costs/PRB Installation Method	Capital (based on casings vs. one-pass method); higher costs will receive a	H
		lower rating	M
			L
	(3.6) Ease of Monitoring	Sites that allow relatively easy access for monitoring well and probe	H
		Installation, periodic monitoring equipment maintenance, and regular	1.1
		owned land 5-15' up and downgradient and 250' downgradient will receive	in .
		a higher score	-
	(3.7) Expansion Potential	Sites with adjacent nitrogen plumes and similar site characteristics that allow for ease of expansion will receive a higher score	14
			M
			L
	(3.8) Potential TMDL Credit	Based on current zoning information, assumed build out and potential	H
		length of PRB for GW capture. Sites located in and around smaller class C	
		zoning areas will receive a higher score because of the denser housing.	м
		Additionally sites with expansion potential will also increase the score for	
		this criteria dde to greater dw capture	-
	(3.9) Permitting Requirements	For the demonstration projects, sites with limited permitting needs will receive higher scores. Sites adjacent to wetlands receive a lower score	8
			M
	Construction and the second		L

THREE SITES SELECTED

- Detailed analysis for 3 sites
 - Estimated depth to groundwater and thickness of aquifer (no wells)
 - Nitrogen-removal based on upstream septic load for two densely developed residential areas
 - Wastewater Treatment Facility plume was third site evaluated



INITIAL RESULTS

- Total depth of PRB over 40 feet in all cases
 - Trenching impractical for required depths and density of development
- Nitrogen removal moderate
- Cost estimates based on:
 - Injection wells
 - **PRB** installed from ground elevation to saltwater interface
 - Extensive monitoring
- \$/kg high

PARADIGM SHIFTS

• 2012

- Trenching and wood chips
- Ringing peninsulas
- Reach saltwater interface
- 2015
 - Injection wells and Emulsified Vegetable Oil (EVO)
 - Areas of high nitrogen concentration and flux
 - Groundwater and soil characterization
 - Not capturing entire cross-section of groundwater to saltwater interface



FROM PLANNING TO IMPLEMENTATION